

ARM FY2026 Aerosol Operations Plan

| | |
|-----------------|---------------------|
| O Mayol-Bracero | J Uin |
| S Smith | J Shilling |
| M Zawadowicz | A Singh |
| J Creamean | A Sedlacek |
| C Hayes | D Campos DeOliveira |
| M Salatti | O Enekwizu |
| C Flynn | M Petters |
| F Morais | T Subba |
| S Petters | A Theisen |

September 2025



DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the U.S. Government. Neither the United States nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the U.S. Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. Government or any agency thereof.

ARM FY2026 Aerosol Operations Plan

O Mayol-Bracero, Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL)

J Uin, BNL

S Smith, BNL

J Shilling, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

M Zawadowicz, BNL

A Singh, BNL

J Creamean, Colorado State University

A Sedlacek, BNL

C Hayes, BNL

D Camos DeOliveira, BNL

M Salatti, BNL

O Enekwizu, BNL

C Flynn, University of Oklahoma

M Petters, University of California, Riverside (UCR)

F Morais, BNL

T Subba, BNL

S Petters, UCR

A Theisen, Argonne National Laboratory

September 2025

How to cite this document:

Mayol-Bracero, O, J Uin, S Smith, J Shilling, M Zawadowicz, A Singh, J Creamean, A Sedlacek, C Hayes, D Campos DeOliveira, M Salatti, O Enekwizu, C Flynn, M Petters, F Morais, T Subba, S Petters, and A Theisen. ARM FY2026 Aerosol Operations Plan. U.S. Department of Energy, Atmospheric Radiation Measurement user facility, Richland, Washington. DOE/SC-ARM-TR-323.

Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy,
Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research

Acronyms and Abbreviations

| | |
|-----------|---|
| ACSM | aerosol chemical speciation monitor |
| ACSMCDCE | ACSM, Corrected for Composition-Dependent Collection Efficiency Value-Added Product |
| ACSM-TOF | aerosol chemical speciation monitor, time of flight |
| ACTRIS | Aerosol, Clouds and Trace Gases Research Infrastructure |
| AETH | aethalometer |
| AFS | Aerosol Flux Measurement System |
| AMF | ARM Mobile Facility |
| AMICE-2 | Absorption Measurements InterComparison Experiment 2 |
| AMSG | Aerosol Measurement Science Group |
| AOD | aerosol optical depth |
| AODBE | AOD Best Estimate Value-Added Product |
| AOP | aerosol operations plan, annual operations plans |
| AOS | Aerosol Observing System |
| AOSMET | Aerosol Observing System meteorological instruments |
| APS | aerodynamic particle sizer |
| ARM | Atmospheric Radiation Measurement |
| BNF | Bankhead National Forest |
| BNL | Brookhaven National Laboratory |
| CAMS | Center for Aerosol Measurement Science |
| CAPE-k | Cape kennaook/Grim, Tasmania |
| CAPS | cavity attenuated phase shift extinction monitor |
| CARGO-ACT | Cooperation and AgReements enhancing Global interOperability for Aerosol, Cloud, and Trace gas research infrastructures |
| CCN | cloud condensation nuclei |
| CCNC | cloud condensation nuclei counter |
| CHARMS | Cryogenic, High-Accuracy Refraction Measuring System |
| CLAP | continuous light absorption photometer |
| CoURAGE | Coast-Urban-Rural Atmospheric Gradient Experiment |
| CPC | condensation particle counter |
| CPCF | condensation particle counter, fine |
| CPCU | condensation particle counter, ultrafine |
| CPMA | centrifugal particle mass analyzer |
| CRG | ARM site code for CoURAGE campaign |
| DAQ | data acquisition system |
| DOD | Data Object Description |

| | |
|-----------|--|
| DOE | U.S. Department of Energy |
| DUSTIEAIM | Desert-Urban System Integrated Atmospheric Monsoon |
| ENA | Eastern North Atlantic |
| EPC | ARM site code for EPCAPE campaign |
| EPCAPE | Eastern Pacific Cloud Aerosol Precipitation Experiment |
| FAIR | Findability, Accessibility, Interoperability, and Reusability |
| FY | fiscal year |
| GAW | Global Atmosphere Watch |
| HOU | ARM site code for TRACER campaign |
| HTDMA | humidified tandem differential mobility analyzer |
| INP | ice nucleating particle, filters for ice nucleation particles |
| IOP | intensive operational period |
| KCG | ARM site code for kennaook/Cape Grim, Tasmania campaign |
| LED | light-emitting diode |
| MOSAiC | Multidisciplinary drifting Observatory for the Study of Arctic Climate |
| NANOSMPS | nano scanning mobility particle sizer |
| NASA | National Aeronautics and Space Administration |
| NEPHDRY | nephelometer, ambient |
| NOAA | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration |
| NOX | nitrogen oxide monitor |
| NSA | North Slope of Alaska |
| NUC | Next Unit of Computing |
| OPC | optical particle counter |
| OZONE | ozone monitor |
| POPS | portable optical particle spectrometer |
| PSAP | particle soot absorption photometer |
| PTRH | pressure, temperature, and relative humidity |
| QA | quality assurance |
| QC | quality control |
| RL | Raman lidar |
| SGP | Southern Great Plains |
| SMPS | scanning mobility particle sizer |
| SO2 | sulfur dioxide monitor |
| SP2 | single-particle soot photometer |
| SP2-XR | extended-range SP2 |
| TIARA | Tikhonov Advanced Regularization Algorithm |
| TRACER | Tracking Aerosol Convection Interactions Experiment |
| TROPOS | Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research |

| | |
|-------|--|
| UCR | University of California, Riverside |
| UHSAS | ultra-high-sensitivity aerosol spectrometer |
| VAP | value-added product |
| WCCAP | World Calibration Center for Aerosol Physics |
| WMO | World Meteorological Organization |

Contents

| | |
|---|-----|
| Acronyms and Abbreviations | iii |
| 1.0 Introduction | 1 |
| 2.0 AOS Instrumentation..... | 1 |
| 2.1 Instrument Tiers | 3 |
| 3.0 AOS Team | 3 |
| 4.0 FY25 Outcomes..... | 4 |
| 4.1 Operations | 5 |
| 4.2 Calibration Activities | 6 |
| 4.3 Engineering and Development | 7 |
| 4.4 Data Products | 10 |
| 5.0 FY26 Plans | 10 |
| 5.1 Operations | 10 |
| 5.2 Calibration Activities | 11 |
| 5.3 Engineering and Development | 13 |
| 5.4 Data Products | 15 |
| 6.0 References | 15 |
| Appendix A – Historical Aerosol Operations Plan Completed and In-Progress Tasks | A.1 |
| Appendix B – User Metrics 1/1/2020-12/31/2024..... | B.1 |

Tables

| | |
|--|-----|
| 1 Matrix of aerosol instruments and the observatories where they are deployed..... | 2 |
| 2 Standard and additional AOS instruments. | 3 |
| 3 Summary of FY25 AOS operations. | 5 |
| 4 Progress on FY25 planned aerosol engineering and development activities. | 7 |
| 5 FY26 planned calibration activities for each of the ARM observatories. | 11 |
| 6 Gold-standard calibration activities for Q1 FY26. | 12 |
| 7 FY26 planned aerosol engineering and development activities. | 13 |
| 8 Instrument download metrics, 2020-2024..... | B.1 |

1.0 Introduction

The U.S. Department of Energy’s Atmospheric Radiation Measurement User Facility (ARM) deploys at each of ARM’s observatories a suite of aerosol and trace gas (further mention of aerosols will assume inclusion of trace gases) instrumentation that constitute the Aerosol Observing System (AOS; Uin et al. 2019). ARM currently deploys five AOSs, one each at:

- Southern Great Plains (SGP) – aerosol measurements started in 1996
- Eastern North Atlantic (ENA) – aerosol measurements started in 2014
- ARM Mobile Facilities (AMF1, 2, and 3).

To improve aerosol measurements at its North Slope of Alaska (NSA) facility (a 6th ARM observatory), in FY2025, ARM began complementing data historically provided by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) with additional instrumentation that monitors aerosol chemical composition and particle size (i.e., aerosol chemical speciation monitor [ACSM], extended-range single-particle soot photometer [SP2-XR], and aerodynamic particle sizer [APS]).

As ARM’s support for aerosol instrumentation increases, it is important for ARM to communicate plans and priorities for aerosol measurements to the community to maximize the benefit and planning around scientific activities and to advance confidence in ARM’s aerosol measurements.

ARM develops a yearly aerosol operations plan (AOP) for every fiscal year (October 1-September 30). This started in fiscal year (FY) 2024 ([Theisen et al. 2024](#), [Mayol-Bracero et al. 2025](#)). Due to uncertainties in the FY26 budget, this plan was developed under a business-as-usual approach and will be updated as circumstances evolve. It will be made available through the ARM aerosol instrument web pages and will include:

- Review of the previous activities since the last plan
- Planned activities and their priorities for the upcoming fiscal year (FY)
- Planned activities for data products and value-added products (VAPs).

Questions about the plan should be sent to Olga Mayol-Bracero through the mentor contact page: <https://arm.gov/connect-with-arm/organization/instrument-mentors/list#aos>.

2.0 AOS Instrumentation

Each AOS has a common set of standard instruments with additional instruments deployed as needed to ensure the best measurements at each site. The measurements include aerosol particle number concentration, size distribution, chemical composition, radiative and optical properties, hygroscopicity, concentration of trace gases, and supporting meteorological conditions. Table 1 lists instrumentation deployed at each ARM site and other instrumentation available for field campaigns such as during intensive operational periods (IOPs).

Table 1. Matrix of aerosol instruments and the observatories where they are deployed.

| Instrument | AMF1 | AMF2 ¹ | AMF3 | SGP | ENA | NSA | IOP |
|--|------|---------------------|------|-----|-----|------|-----|
| Aerosol chemical speciation monitor-quadrupole (ACSM) | | | | | | | |
| Aerosol chemical speciation monitor-time of flight (TOF-ACSM) | | | | | | | |
| Aethalometer (AETH) | | | | | | NOAA | |
| Aerodynamic particle sizer (APS) | | CAPE-k ² | | | | | |
| Cavity attenuated phase shift monitor (CAPS) ³ | | | | | | | |
| Carbon monoxide/nitrous oxide/water vapor (CO) | | Y | | | | | |
| Continuous light absorption photometer (CLAP) | | | | | | NOAA | |
| Cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) | | Y | | | | | |
| Condensation particle counter (CPC, CPCF) | | Y | | | | NOAA | |
| Ultrafine condensation particle counter (CPCUF, CPCU) | | Y | | | | | |
| Humidified tandem differential mobility analyzer (HTDMA) ⁴ | | | | | | | |
| Impactor (1-10 µm) | | Y | | | | NOAA | |
| Nano scanning mobility particle sizer (NANOSMPS) | | | | | | | |
| Nephelometer, ambient (NEPHDRY) | | Y | | | | NOAA | |
| Ozone (OZONE) | | Y | | | | | |
| Scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) | | Y | | | | | |
| Sulfur dioxide (SO ₂) | | | | | | | |
| Single-particle soot photometer (SP2) | IOP | CAPE-k | XR | | | XR | X |
| Ultra-high-sensitivity aerosol spectrometer (UHSAS) | | CAPE-k | | | | | |
| Meteorological information (AOSMET) | | | | | | | |
| Filters for ice nucleating particles (INS/INP) | | | | | | X | X |
| Nitrogen oxide 3-channel [NO, NO ₂ , NO _y] (NOX) ⁵ | | | | | | | X |
| Y = part of the system | | | | | | | |
| Additions in FY25 | | | | | | | |
| Removed from operations | | | | | | | |

¹ The AMF2 AOS, except for the APS, SP2, and UHSAS, is not deployed in the current AMF2 deployment at [CAPE-k](#) (KCG, Cloud, Aerosol, and Precipitation Experiment at kennaook/Cape Grim, April 15, 2024-Sept 15, 2025) due to the overlap with the existing measurements at the Cape Grim, Tasmania aerosol stations.

² The ARM APS is currently down, and ARM is operating a non-ARM APS in its place.

³ Starting in FY26, ARM will retire all CAPS instruments.

⁴ For FY26, ARM will operate a single HTDMA at AMF1 ([CoURAGE](#), CRG - Coast-Urban-Rural Atmospheric Gradient Experiment). After the completion of CRG (30 November 2025), ARM will retire all HTDMA instruments.

⁵ ARM has contracted out the operations of a NO_x system for the CoURAGE campaign. ARM will review the trace gas measurement strategy in FY26.

2.1 Instrument Tiers

ARM operates high-quality, research-grade AOS and, since 2024, has also been investing in other tiers of aerosol measurements. These are organized into three categories: **Standard AOS, Additional AOS Instruments, and Aerosol Nodes**. A summary of the instruments within each tier is provided in **Table 2**.

- **Standard AOS** – Complex, research-grade systems with a broad range of instruments and sampling protocols, currently deployed at all AOS sites.
- **Additional AOS Instruments** – A set of instruments that may be deployed either full-time at certain sites or temporarily during intensive operational periods (IOPs) and field campaigns (Table 2). These are not standardized across all AOS sites and may sometimes be operated standalone.
- **Aerosol Nodes** – Since 2024, ARM started the design of the aerosol nodes to provide flexible measurement capabilities ranging from low-cost standalone systems to small-shelter research-grade deployments. Two types are being developed:
 - **Mini-AOS** – with broader measurement capabilities such as particle size distributions and, as the system matures, additional optical measurements. Two units are being prepared for deployment at the AMF3 site in the Bankhead National Forest (BNF).
 - **Micro-AOS** – smaller portable systems using Handix portable optical particle spectrometer (POPS) instruments. A network of three units is being deployed during the AMF1 urban CoURAGE campaign in Maryland (Dec 2024-Nov 2025).

Table 2. Standard and additional AOS instruments.

| Standard AOS instruments | |
|--|---|
| Aerodynamic particle sizer (APS) | Ozone (O3) |
| Cloud condensation nuclei counter (CCN) | Particle soot absorption photometer (PSAP) |
| Condensation particle counter (CPC/CPCF) | Scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) |
| Impactor | Ultra-high-sensitivity aerosol spectrometer (UHSAS) |
| Nephelometer (NEPH) | AOS meteorological system (AOSMET) |
| Additional AOS instruments | |
| Aerosol chemical speciation monitor (ACSM/TOF-ACSM) | Nano scanning mobility particle sizer (NANOSMPS) |
| Aethalometer (AETH) | Sulfur dioxide monitor (SO2) |
| Carbon monoxide monitor (CO) | Single-particle soot photometer (SP2, SP2-XR) |
| Ultrafine condensation particle counter (CPCU/CPCUF) | Filters for ice nucleation particles (INS/INP) |

3.0 AOS Team

Instrument Mentors – Responsible for operating instruments, engineering activities, overseeing calibrations and maintenance, and ensuring data quality through QA/QC in coordination with the ARM

Data Quality Office. They also develop documentation, train site operators, and refine operational protocols as needed for deployments. **Lead Mentor (LM), Associate Mentor (AM)**

- Olga L. Mayol-Bracero – AOS LM, AM: Infrastructure, nephelometer
- Scott Smith – AOS AM, LM: Infrastructure
- Janek Uin – LM: HTDMA UHSAS, nephelometer AM: CCN, Infrastructure
- Ogo Enekwizu – LM: CCN
- Ashish Singh – LM: CPCu, CPCf, SMPS, n-SMPS, APS
- Delano C. de Oliveira – LM: Calibration system, AM: SMPS, n-SMPS, CPCs, APS, Infrastructure
- Tamanna Subba – AM: SMPS, n-SMPS, CPCs, APS
- Art Sedlacek – LM: SP2, SP2-XR, Aethalometer, PSAP, trace gases
- Maria Zawadowicz – LM: ACSM
- Mirtha Allain – AM: ACSM
- Chris Hayes – programming, data systems, AM: trace gases
- Jessie Creamean – LM: INP
- Connor Flynn – AM: PSAP
- Sarah Petters – LM: distributed aerosol (POPS – portable optical particle spectrometer) sensors at CoURAGE campaign
- Markus Petters – LM: distributed aerosol (POPS) sensors at CoURAGE campaign
- Fernando Morais –AM: Infrastructure, calibrations
- Andrew McMahon - programming, engineering, electronics
- Gabriel Vignato - infrastructure

Site Operators – Oversee the AOS day-to-day operations in coordination with the instrument mentors.

- AOS AMF1, AMF2, and ENA - LANL (Lead techs: Mark Manriquez, Samuel González, Ana Bloom, Frank Zurek, Tom Day, Bruno Cunha, Tercio Silva)
- AOS AMF3 and SGP – ANL (Lead techs: Ken Teske, Farrel Clark)
- NSA – in collaboration with NOAA (Bryan Thomas, Ross Burgener)

Ingest Development – Develop and maintain the ARM data ingest system that processes the raw data into uniformly formatted, accessible data for archiving and distribution.

- Brian Ermold, Gabriel Gibler, Yan Shi

Translators – Produce value-added data products (VAPs) and tools to broaden the use of AOS data.

- John Shilling – aerosol translator
- Gourihar Kulkarni, Evgueni Kassianov, Duli Chand – VAP science mentors
- Gabe Gibler, Max Levin, Chitra Sivaraman, Josh Howie – VAP developers

4.0 FY25 Outcomes

The FY25 outcomes are organized into three parts—Operations, Calibration Activities, and Engineering and Development. These build on the tasks outlined in the FY2024 Aerosol Operations Plan (AOP; Theisen et al. 2024) and the 2018 Aerosol Measurement Plan (Mather et al. 2018), many of which ARM has been advancing in recent years (see FY25 AOP Table 4, Mayol-Bracero et al. 2025). A summary of completed and in-progress tasks is provided in **Appendix A**, with additional outcomes highlighted in Table 3.

4.1 Operations

Table 3. Summary of FY25 AOS operations.

| Site | Summary of Operations |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Southern Great Plains (SGP) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All AOS instruments operated successfully, with minimal downtime and consistently high data quality. SGP underwent a World Meteorological Organization (WMO) Global Atmosphere Watch (GAW) audit—an important step to ensure data quality, consistency, and global comparability—resulting in recommendations to be provided in a forthcoming report. |
| North Slope of Alaska (NSA) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ARM achieved a major milestone at NSA by deploying three aerosol instruments (ACSM, SP2-XR, and APS) at NOAA’s Barrow Observatory, launching long-sought measurements of aerosol chemical composition and size distribution, and by adding INP filter collections. |
| Eastern North Atlantic (ENA) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All AOS instruments operated successfully, with minimal downtime and consistently high data quality. The AOS was upgraded by moving from physical computers to virtual machines and consolidating serial instruments onto a centralized Moxa server. This upgrade enhances reliability, ensures consistency with other AOS deployments, and provides greater flexibility for future operations. Upgraded NUCs (Next Unit of Computing) remain onsite to serve as backups. |
| AMF1 – CoURAGE (CRG) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The AOS has been deployed in a rural ancillary site northwest of Baltimore, Maryland, operating reliably, producing high-quality data with minimal interruptions. Three portable optical particle spectrometer (POPS, AOS-Mini) systems were deployed at three ground sites, successfully operating for a majority of the campaign with limited downtime and high data quality. |
| AMF2 – CAPE-k (KCG) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ARM enhanced aerosol observations by deploying APS, SP2, UHSAS and INP filter collection, while opting not to install a full AOS since the campaign leveraged the existing Cape Grim Baseline Station. Instruments have been operating reliable, producing high-quality data with minimal interruptions. The APS has experienced occasional failures, during which it was substituted with either the OPC or a guest APS. ARM is processing data from the baseline station into a-level products for O₃, CPC, CPCU, CCN100, and ACSM-TOF. The quality of the data is not ensured by ARM and users are encouraged to download final data sets from Cape Grim. |

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>AMF3 – Bankhead National Forest (BNF)</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AMF3 officially started operations October 1, 2024. The AOS has been operating successfully, with minimal downtime and consistently high data quality. • A system of pressure, temperature, and relative humidity (PTRH) sensors, similar to the one in AMF1 AOS, was implemented for all AOS sample lines to enable better monitoring of sampling conditions and to catch measurement issues faster. |
| <p>Other</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ARM has retired all HTDMA systems from operations except one. The AMF1 unit will remain in use through the end of CoURAGE (November 30, 2025), after which it will also be retired. • ARM retired all cavity attenuated phase shift extinction monitors (CAPS) at the end of FY25 (see Table 1). |

4.2 Calibration Activities

Calibration Schedule. In FY25 AOP, the aerosol mentor team has established a structured calibration schedule for AOS instruments, focusing on calibrations at the start and end of mobile facility deployments, with additional calibrations during campaigns as needed. The plan accounts for the calibration frequency and requirements for each instrument type.

Gold-reference instruments for SMPS and CPC calibrations. Significant progress has been made toward establishing ARM calibration infrastructure at the Center for Aerosol Measurement Science (CAMS) at BNL. Laboratory space has been prepared, and infrastructure (sampling system, data connections) are being installed. Procurement and installation of gold-standard reference instruments for SMPS and CPC calibrations are in progress. Several key instruments, including CPCs, electrometer, and auxiliary devices have already been procured, acceptance-tested, inspected for safety, installed, and verified for power and communication functionality. In addition, the CPC reference standards were verified and calibrated by the WCCAP, as required by the vendor (TSI). Looking ahead, SMPS calibrations are scheduled to begin in FY26 with the SGP instruments. Additional details and the timeline are provided in Section 5.2, Table 6.

CARGO-ACT. ARM’s role in CARGO-ACT focused on harmonizing calibration procedures, establishing common approaches to uncertainty and operations, and aligning ARM’s calibration procedures with international standards. Refer to the FY25 AOP for more details on the CARGO-ACT project. Several CARGO-ACT aerosol key reports involving ARM AOS were submitted in FY2025, including recommendations for harmonized calibration and operation procedures, a framework for estimating measurement uncertainty, and extensive documentation of existing calibration, operation, and processing protocols across the U.S. and Europe. In addition, a strategic plan for harmonizing ARM with ACTRIS’s WCCAP is underway. Importantly, in July 2025, the WMO GAW audit of the SGP AOS, led by Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research/World Calibration Center for Aerosol Physics (TROPOS/WCCAP), evaluated compliance with global standards, provided recommendations for improving measurements, and reinforced the international credibility of ARM data—ensuring accuracy, consistency, and comparability of aerosol observations across networks. Following the audit, SGP will be well positioned to pursue designation as a WMO GAW Regional Station.

4.3 Engineering and Development

To highlight our progress, Table 8 from the FY25 AOP (here Table 4) has been included. A short overview of activities is provided below.

Table 4. Progress on FY25 planned aerosol engineering and development activities.

| Task | Priority | Progress/Planned End Date |
|--|----------|---------------------------|
| Aerosol node development | 1 | In progress/Dec 2026 |
| Aerosol flux measurement development | 1 | In progress/Dec 2027 |
| Center for Aerosol Measurement Science (CAMS) | 1 | In progress/March 2026 |
| Setting up SMPS and CPC gold-standard calibration capabilities for ARM AOS instruments | 1 | In progress/Dec 2026 |
| Absorption Measurement Intercomparison and Calibration Experiment for advancing ARM's absorbing aerosol measurements | 1 | In progress/Dec 2025 |
| Develop and implement a plan for distributed aerosol measurements for urban AMF deployments | 1 | In progress/Dec 2025 |
| Contracted deployment of a NOx system with the ARM AOS for CoURAGE | 1 | In progress/Dec 2025 |
| Gas Analyzer Intercomparison | 1 | Completed |
| Correction factors for the new PSAP filter media | 2 | On hold |
| Refurbishing the ENA HTDMA | 2 | Completed |
| Testing a new field-deployable calibration system | 2 | Completed |
| Replacement of the aging NEPH systems | 2 | In progress/Dec 2026 |
| Develop a data-flagging approach for identifying MOSAiC AOS contamination events | 2 | Completed |
| Evaluating UHSAS and OPC measurements | 2 | Completed |
| Deployment of an OPC inside the CRG AOS container | 2 | Completed |
| ACSM Calibration Uncertainty Study | 2 | Completed |
| Drying the AOS impactor and APS sample flows | 2 | Completed |
| Retire humidified tandem mobility analyzer (HTDMA) | 3 | In progress/Dec 2025 |
| Modernization of the AOS instrument management software | 3 | On hold |
| Gradually remove the real-time flow sensor from the existing standalone CPCs (CPCF, CPCuf) in SGP and ENA in FY24 | 4 | Completed |

Aerosol node development. Mini-AOS 1 is under development at BNL. System integration has been largely completed, including enclosure, sample conditioning, particle loss checks, diagnostics software, and ARM remote connect, with data ingest still in progress. Testing is underway to establish calibration procedures, compare with AOS systems, and assess lower-cost instruments, moving the node toward field readiness for AMF3 deployment in early FY26.

Aerosol flux measurement development. ARM is testing a single-particle flux system on the 40-m tower at AMF3 to evaluate aerosol flux measurements. The FY25 milestone of surveying and selecting instruments was completed, while procurement of instruments and accessories (OPC, data logger, enclosure) is currently in progress.

Center for Aerosol Measurement Science. See updates under Section 4.2 Calibration Activities. This project will be closed once the procurement of gold-reference instrumentation is complete. All other calibration activities will continue under the “**Setting up SMPS and CPC gold-standard calibration capabilities for ARM AOS instruments**” activity (see below).

Setting up SMPS and CPC gold-standard calibration capabilities for ARM AOS instruments. See updates under Section 4.2 Calibration Activities.

Absorption Measurement Intercomparison and Calibration Experiment for advancing ARM’s absorbing aerosol measurements (AMICE-2). The AMICE-2 measurements at BNL have concluded FY25 deliverables. Performance metrics for instruments included in AMICE-2 will be provided. Report with recommendations will be submitted the 1st quarter of FY26.

Develop and implement a plan for distributed aerosol measurements for urban AMF deployments. Three systems were successfully deployed at ground sites for the CoURAGE campaign in and around Baltimore, Maryland. From November 2024 through April 2025, all units operated reliably with high-quality data and no outages, supported by the availability of a spare POPS for rapid replacement if needed.

Contracted deployment of a NO_x system with the ARM AOS for CoURAGE. A CAPS-based NO_x system was installed on December 20, 2024 at CoURAGE and has been working well with high data availability.

Gas analyzer intercomparison. The MIRO Gas analyzer (MGA-10) was tested as a potential replacement for ARM trace gas instruments, and it is not a viable option for ARM deployments.

Correction factors for the new PSAP filter media. Correction factors for PSAPs new Emfab filter material is currently on hold; see Section 5.3 (Engineering and Development) for further details.

Refurbishing the ENA HTDMA. For FY26, ARM will operate a single HTDMA at AMF1 (CoURAGE). After the completion of CRG (30 November 2025), ARM will retire all HTDMA instruments.

Testing a new field-deployable calibration system. A prototype of a compact and low-cost calibration system (akin to TSI SMPS) was tested for potential use within ARM as a transfer standard. The evaluation showed that the system worked well in general, but for the specific requirements for ARM use, more development would have been needed, and we decided not to pursue this option.

Replacement of the aging NEPH systems. ARM has decided to transition using the Aurora LED-based nephelometers. Three units have been procured and are being evaluated at BNL. Two more units will potentially be procured to cover all the ARM sites. The order of priority for upgrades: BNF, SGP, AMF1, ENA, AMF2.

Develop a data-flagging approach for identifying MOSAiC AOS contamination events. AOS data from the MOSAiC expedition was affected by local emissions from the ship. To identify the periods with local contamination, several filtering methods were proposed and tested. The outcome of this project provides users of the data with information and tools for processing the data before further analysis. Code to implement the filtering is also available as a result of this work.

Evaluating UHSAS and OPC measurements. The study determined that there are always measurement artifacts associated with all optical particle sizing instruments and that the operating parameters of a specific device have a strong influence on how strongly these artifacts manifest in the data. As such, there is no intrinsically better optical sizing instrument that would be free of these effects. The key findings have been published as an ARM report and a more thorough analysis has been submitted for publication in a peer-reviewed journal.

Deployment of an OPC inside the CRG AOS container. An OPC was deployed inside the CRG AOS container to assess dust intrusion risks ahead of [DUSTIEAIM](#) (Desert-Urban SysTem IntegratEd Atmospheric Monsoon), the next field deployment for the AMF1 AOS starting in April 2026. Data analysis showed that dust enters the AOS even without operator traffic, with intrusion strongly influenced by wind direction, particularly when winds approach at a slight angle to the door.

ACSM Calibration Uncertainty Study. The project successfully conducted the first laboratory intercomparison of all five ARM ACSMs at BNL, achieving baseline centrifugal particle mass analyzer (CPMA)-based calibrations, maintenance, and improved protocols that advance consistency and standardization across the ARM ACSM network. Refer to the ARM report for more details.

Drying the AOS impactor and APS sample flows. We implemented and tested a drying system for the AOS impactor line and the APS at the SGP, AMF1, and AMF3 sites to address measurement ambiguities caused by humidity. A new project will be created to manage the broader impacts of adding this system to the SGP APS.

Retire humidified tandem mobility analyzer (HTDMA). Refer to the text above under ‘Refurbishing ENA HTDMA’.

Modernization of the AOS instrument management software. Due to higher-priority activities, this project was put on hold but will be re-evaluated when effort is available to continue.

Gradually remove the real-time flow sensor from the existing standalone CPCs (CPCF, CPCuf) in SGP and ENA in FY24. The real-time flow sensor modification in standalone CPCs at SGP and ENA was removed. This required reverting the LabVIEW VI to the standard data acquisition system (DAQ) configuration and adjusting the CPC ingest to align with an existing Data Object Description DOD. With this change, ARM CPCFs now share the same DOD/ingest across all sites, ensuring that any future updates are uniformly implemented system wide.

4.4 Data Products

Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) and AOD Best Estimate (AODBE). In FY25 ARM processed and released 7-channel AOD data for EPC and ENA. For AODBE, ARM processed and released 5-channel data for two NSA sites (C1 and C2) and for HOU.

CCN Kappa. The SMPS kappa VAP is now running as a baseline VAP and is produced for every site that has both a cloud condensation nuclei counter (CCNC) and an SMPS. In FY25 ARM released data for BNF, CoURAGE, and ENA.

CCN Profile. ARM evaluated the quality of the altitude-dependent CCN concentrations retrieved by the CCN profile VAP. Based on this analysis, a machine learning (ML) model to perform automated QA/QC analysis on the VAP retrieval was developed. A publication outlining this methodology has been drafted, and we are currently integrating it into the VAP code.

Merged Size Distribution and Merged Size Distribution Machine Learning. The Merged Size Distribution Machine Learning VAP uses a machine learning algorithm to evaluate the QA/QC on the merged SMPS-APS data set. In FY25 ARM transitioned the Merged Size Distribution VAP to a baseline VAP, so it is now produced for all sites with both an SMPS and APS in near-real time. The accuracy of the ML VAP was evaluated against hand-labeled data, which helped improve the QA/QC accuracy. As a result, a new, machine-learning-evaluated Merged Size Distribution data set was released for the EPC campaign.

Merged Aerosol. In response to requests from ARM users and advisory groups, a new VAP was developed. This tool bundles data from multiple aerosol instruments into a single file with a unified timestamp. The VAP simplifies the user experience and reduces the effort required for scientists analyzing multi-instrument data. In FY25, an initial version of the VAP was developed, and data was released for the SGP, HOU, and EPC sites for user evaluation.

Additional VAPs. The ACSM, corrected for composition-dependent collection efficiency (ACSMCDCE) VAP, corrects the ACSM data for non-unity particle sampling. This VAP is now operational and running in real time. Data are available for all sites with an ACSM. The AOP VAP combines PSAP and nephelometer data to provide corrected in situ aerosol optical properties. The AOP VAP also runs in real time and is available for all sites with a PSAP and nephelometer. Development of the HTDMA kappa VAP was suspended because of the decision to retire HTDMA instruments.

5.0 FY26 Plans

5.1 Operations

ARM will continue operating AOSs at the following locations in FY26: SGP, ENA, AMF1 (supporting CoURAGE through November 30, 2025, and transitioning to DUSTIEAIM beginning April 2026), and AMF3 (BNF). In addition, ARM will operate supplemental instrumentation at the NOAA NSA facility (see Table 1 for the list of specific instruments). During the Cape kennaook/Grim, Tasmania campaign (CAPE-k) ending in October 2025, only a small subset of instrumentation (APS, SP2, and UHSAS) is being deployed at the AMF2 because the site is collocated with the Cape Grim Baseline Air Pollution

Station. CAPE-k ARM aerosol data are available to users. Plans for the AMF2 are currently to be determined; future deployments will be announced through a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) call for proposals as priorities warrant.

For FY25, ARM will operate a single HTDMA at AMF1 (CoURAGE). After the completion of CoURAGE, ARM will retire all HTDMA instruments except one that will be mothballed for potential future use.

ARM continues to produce hygroscopicity (κ) and growth factor data products from HTDMA and CCN-SMPS instruments (with future direction pending HTDMA retirement), while also conducting routine ice nucleating particle (INP) filter collections and analyses across multiple sites and campaigns, with data made publicly available after processing. Details on the hygroscopicity are documented in the FY25 AOP.

5.2 Calibration Activities

Calibration schedule. For FY26, calibration periods have been scheduled for AMF1 CoURAGE (ending Nov 30, 2025), BNF, DUSTIEAIM, ENA, SGP, and NSA (Table 5). Table 5 also outlines ideal windows for IOPs. During these ideal or already planned IOPs, ARM will prioritize keeping instruments fully operational, calibrated, and generating high-quality data, while deferring repairs or upgrades to other times. These periods do not exclude any other time of the year for IOPs but will be a period in which ARM makes a concerted effort to provide high-quality data with high uptime.

Table 5. FY26 planned calibration activities for each of the ARM observatories.

| Site | OCT | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| AMF1 (CoURAGE) | | | EC | | | | | | | | | |
| AMF1 (DUSTIEAIM) | | | | | | | | I | P | P | P | P |
| AMF3 (BNF) | | I | I | I | | I | I | I | ★ | I | I | I |
| ENA | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SGP | I | ★ | | I | I | ★ | | I | I | I | | I |
| NSA | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Calibration Trip | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EC - End of campaign calibrations | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I (Ideal) or P (planned) periods for IOPs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ★ Plans for SMPS and CPC calibrations with gold-reference instrumentation or using a transfer standard. Note that for SGP the calibrations are split in two - first SMPS (Nov) and then CPC (March, see Table 6) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Note: AMF2 CAPE-k, site techs have been performing calibrations, project ends Oct 2025. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post-CAPE-k plans for AMF2 are currently under review. | | | | | | | | | | | | |

ARM CPC and SMPS instruments will continue to undergo regular calibrations according to the schedule outlined in Table 5. As ARM’s centralized calibration laboratory at CAMS becomes operational,

calibrations will increasingly be performed there using the gold-standard reference instruments. The long-term goal is for all ARM CPC and SMPS instruments to be calibrated annually, either directly at the calibration laboratory or against a transfer standard. However, this will not yet be fully implemented in FY26; only the sites specifically noted in Table 5 (SGP and BNF) will be included at this stage. The calibration schedule also retains flexibility to accommodate unforeseen instrument issues (both deployed and calibration units) as well as potential shipping delays.

Gold-reference instruments for SMPS and CPC calibrations. Calibration of ARM’s CPCs and SMPSs will begin in FY26 with the SGP instruments, followed by closure and intercomparison efforts in FY26-FY27. The calibration plan, including September activities, is outlined in Table 6. The timeline has shifted by about six months relative to the FY25 AOP due to procurement delays. Reference instruments will be compared bi-annually against WCCAP standards. Over time, we aim to expand these efforts to include additional aerosol properties such as composition, optical characteristics, and hygroscopicity. These calibration activities will involve some instrument downtime, which will be planned and documented in the Annual Operations Plans (AOPs) for transparency.

Table 6. Gold-standard calibration activities for Q1 FY26.

| Tasks | Deliverables | Planned End Date |
|---|--|------------------------|
| Procurement and installation of reference instrument for SMPS calibrations | Instrument has been installed at BNL and tested for proper operation. Staff has been trained on its operation. Certificate of performance from WCCAP for reference instrument. | November 2025 |
| First calibrations of ARM SMPS instruments using reference instrumentation (starting with SGP), data analysis | ARM SMPSs from SGP have a certificate of performance. | November 2025 |
| Preparation of lab for CPC calibrations | Calibration lab is set up and ready to receive reference instrument for CPC calibrations. | November/December 2025 |
| Procurement and installation of reference instrument for CPCs calibrations | Instrument has been installed at BNL and tested for proper operation. Staff has been trained on its operation. Certificate of performance from WCCAP for reference instrument. | January/February 2026 |
| First calibrations of ARM CPC instruments using gold standards (starting with SGP), data analysis | ARM CPCs from SGP have a certificate of performance. | March 2026 |
| Calibration of SMSP and CPC instruments from BNF. | ARM CPCs from SGP have a certificate of performance. | June 2026 |
| Calibrating transfer standard at the calibration lab to be used in field calibrations | Transfer standard calibrated and ready for use. | August 2026 |
| Documenting and sharing the results and lessons learned from the first ARM calibrations | Calibration results, procedures, and lessons learned disseminated to relevant parties. | September 2026 |
| Routine calibrations of ARM instruments | All ARM CPC and SMPS instruments are regularly calibrated at the calibration lab or using a transfer standard. | FY27 and beyond |

The plan above outlines the launch of regular ARM instrument calibrations at the ARM calibration laboratory in FY26, with future activities anticipated to proceed on a routine, scheduled basis.

CARGO-ACT. CARGO-ACT will conduct dedicated campaigns to compare data production and compatibility across partner networks, including cross-network quality control, traceability, and calibration. The project will also begin drafting a roadmap for harmonizing vocabularies, host a workshop on FAIR (Findability, Accessibility, Interoperability, and Reusability) resources, and develop a plan to strengthen engagement with global bodies to maximize impact.

5.3 Engineering and Development

Besides routine AOS operations, the mentor team is focused on improving and expanding ARM measurements to better serve the research community. These efforts include improved understanding of the measurements, new instrumentation, new instrument development, or other needs as they arise. It is important that ARM prioritize these efforts and communicate those priorities to the community. Table 7 shows an overview of activities with further information below for Priority 1 activities.

Table 7. FY26 planned aerosol engineering and development activities.

| Task | Priority | Planned End Date |
|---|----------|------------------|
| Aerosol node development | 1 | Dec 2026 |
| Aerosol flux measurement development | 1 | Dec 2027 |
| Center for Aerosol Measurement Science (CAMS) | 1 | Dec 2027 |
| Setting up SMPS and CPC gold-standard calibration capabilities for ARM AOS instruments | 1 | Dec 2026 |
| Absorption Measurement Intercomparison and Calibration Experiment for advancing ARM’s absorbing aerosol measurements (AMICE2) | 1 | December 2025 |
| Correction factors for the new PSAP filter media | 3 | On hold |
| Develop and implement a plan for distributed aerosol measurements for urban AMF deployments | 1 | Dec 2025 |
| Contracted deployment of a NOx system with the ARM AOS for CoURAGE | 1 | Dec 2025 |
| Developing a sample line zeroing system for AOS | 1 | May 2026 |
| AOS activities between field campaigns (starting with DUSTIEAIM) | 1 | Dec 2026 |
| Replacement of the aging NEPH systems | 2 | Dec 2026 |
| Retire humidified tandem mobility analyzer (HTDMA) | 3 | Dec 2025 |
| Modernization of the AOS instrument management software | 3 | On hold |

Aerosol node development. In FY26, the aerosol node development will focus on advancing the mini-AOS through a series of key activities. The mini-AOS 1 will undergo system testing, including field validation against the full AOS (early FY26), the construction of a dedicated calibration unit, and the development of a handbook and preventive/corrective maintenance procedures. Following these preparations, the instrument will be iterated and modified as needed before being deployed at a

supplementary site in BNF, where it will remain in operation for the rest of the fiscal year. In parallel, efforts will also begin on the procurement and construction of a second unit, mini-AOS 2, to expand system capabilities.

Aerosol Flux Measurement System (AFS) development. In FY26, the aerosol flux measurement plans include procuring the necessary AFS instruments and accessories such as an OPC, data logger, and enclosure, followed by the development and testing of the data acquisition system to maximize sampling frequency and evaluate time-lag and synchronization performance.

Center for Aerosol Measurement Science (CAMS), Setting up SMPS and CPC gold-standard calibration capabilities for ARM AOS instruments, and CARGO-ACT. The FY26 plans for these three activities are outlined in Section 5.2 Calibrations.

Absorption Measurements Intercomparison Experiment 2 (AMICE-2). In the first quarter of FY26, an ARM technical report will be provided to make final recommendations for measurement of aerosol absorption based on instrument performance during AMICE-2, with emphasis on the precision, sensitivity, and accuracy of ambient absorption coefficients. (The initial phase of the AMICE project was presented during the FY25 AOP.)

Correction factors for the new PSAP filter media. While AMICE-2 will provide reference measurements for evaluating filter-based instruments, the development of correction factors for the new PSAP filter media may extend beyond this experiment and could rely on further analysis by the mentor team. The AOP value-added product will need updates to use these new correction factors.

Distributed aerosol measurement for urban deployments. ARM will close out the deployment of the three ground-based POPS for the CoURAGE campaign in Baltimore, Maryland at the end of November 2025. These systems are not planned for any additional deployments in FY26 at the moment.

CoURAGE NO_x measurements. A quality-controlled data set will be provided to ARM within six months of the end of the campaign.

Developing a sample line zeroing system for AOS. ARM is developing a sample line zeroing system for the AOS to enable automated zero checks and protect instruments from high-aerosol-loading events. This system, adapted from the purge air system for instrument protection from ship emissions used during the MOSAiC expedition, will be integrated directly into the sampling system to allow more frequent zero tests without modifications or disruptions to the sample lines. New control software will be developed to operate the system automatically, remotely, or locally, with status data saved as part of the AOS datastream. After initial testing at SGP, four additional systems will be built and deployed across the remaining AOS units.

AOS activities between field campaigns. ARM will support the monitoring and coordination of AOS tasks beginning with the DUSTEIAIM campaign, with the process then applied to subsequent field campaigns. Activities will follow an internal template that serves as a guideline, with the understanding that additional tasks may be required.

5.4 Data Products

Detailed plans for specific data product development efforts in FY26 are as follows:

AOD and AODBE. Processing of Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) data will continue for new campaigns such as BNF, now including the 1625-nm channel. The AODBE VAP will also incorporate this new channel, with data processed for ECAPE and TRACER campaigns, ensuring enhanced coverage and accuracy.

CCN Profile. The CCN Profile VAP will be updated to use HSRL data when Raman lidar data are not available at a site. A machine learning routine developed by data analysts will be integrated to improve QA/QC, and the VAP's performance will be re-evaluated against in situ measurements.

Merged Size Distribution Machine Learning. The ML algorithm for merged size distributions will be assessed for performance at new sites and campaigns with SMPS and APS instruments. QA/QC evaluations will be applied to the data, and updated data sets—including those from the BNF site—will be released.

Merged Aerosol. User feedback will be incorporated to refine the Merged Aerosol VAP, extending its application to additional sites. A technical report documenting the methodology and updates will be prepared to support transparency and reproducibility.

6.0 References

Mather, J, S Springston, and C Flynn. 2018. ARM Aerosol Measurement Plan. U.S. Department of Energy. DOE/SC-ARM-TR-213. <https://doi.org/10.2172/1437623>

Mayol-Bracero, O, J Uin, S Smith, J Shilling, M Zawadowicz, A Singh, J Creamean, A Sedlacek, C Hayes, D Campos DeOliveira, M Salatti, O Enekwizu, M Petters, S Petters, and A Theisen. 2025. ARM FY2025 Aerosol Operations Plan. U.S. Department of Energy, Atmospheric Radiation Measurement User Facility, Richland, Washington. DOE/SC-ARM-TR-311, <https://doi.org/10.2172/2482516>

Theisen, A, O Mayol-Bracero, J Uin, S Smith, J Shilling, C Kuang, M Zawadowicz, A Singh, R Trojanowski, J Creamean, A Sedlacek, C Hayes, D Campos DeOliveira, and M Allain. 2023. ARM FY2024 Aerosol Operations Plan. U.S. Department of Energy, ARM User Facility. DOE/SC-ARM-TR-289, <https://doi.org/10.2172/2008425>

Uin, J, AC Aiken, MK Dubey, C Kuang, M Pekour, C Salwen, AJ Sedlacek, G Senum, S Smith, J Wang, TB Watson, and SR Springston. 2019. "Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM) Aerosol Observing Systems (AOS) for Surface-Based In Situ Atmospheric Aerosol and Trace Gas Measurements." *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology* 36(12): 2429–2447, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JTECH-D-19-0077.1>

Appendix A

Historical Aerosol Operations Plan Completed and In-Progress Tasks

A.1 Completed Tasks

Improve and simplify access to aerosol data

We have made substantial improvements in aerosol data access and are also continually improving on the basis of user feedback. Key improvements:

- New Data Discovery interface
- Additional metadata to improve the search capabilities
- Spatial, temporal, and keyword search capabilities
- Dedicated home page search by Category for “Aerosols”
- Improved data details page with contact information, citations, data quality timeline, primary measurements, and data plots
- Added recommendations for aerosol datastreams.

Improve documentation of measurements and datastreams

Metadata auditing for the aerosol datastreams is complete. The ARM Data Center metadata team improved the metadata keywords and classifications.

The VAP web pages have been updated to provide improved short summary descriptions of VAPs including caveats that describe when the VAP is applicable and when caution should be used. The reference list has been updated and made easier to use to identify VAP point of contacts.

CARGO-ACT work package 2 will also contribute to improve documentation regarding aerosol measurements (in situ and remote sensing).

Identify candidate data products with other communities

This task has been successfully addressed through ARM’s active engagement with international data-sharing initiatives. ARM has been working toward making its data available through the Global Atmosphere Watch (GAW) database and, in parallel, has partnered with ACTRIS to align on common

practices and data products. These collaborations led to the launch of the three-year CARGO-ACT project (Cooperation and AgReements enhancing Global interOperability for Aerosol, Cloud and Trace gas research infrastructures), which aims to harmonize aerosol data across ARM, ACTRIS, NOAA, and NASA, thereby increasing usability for the global research community, including modelers and satellite data users.

As part of this interoperability effort, the ARM Data Center provided CARGO-ACT with a list of candidate data sets and a FAIR implementation plan. Furthermore, ARM has harvested metadata records from ACTRIS and made them discoverable through the ARM Data Discovery tool. Users can now search and explore ACTRIS data sets via ARM's interface, with downloads seamlessly redirected to the ACTRIS portal.

Reduce number of HTDMAs in field

ARM has reduced the number of operating HTDMAs to two at a maximum. For FY25, this will include BNF and AMF1 (CoURAGE). Once CoURAGE is completed, the HTDMA at SGP will be brought online.

Refurbishing of ENA HTDMA

This is not happening, since after the completion of CoURAGE ARM is retiring all HTDMA instruments.

Removal of ACSM at ENA

In FY24, ARM retired from operations the ENA ACSM to add an ACSM to NSA.

Retirement of humidigraphs

To enable new scope, in FY24 ARM retired all humidigraphs and RH-scanned nephelometers from operations.

New instrumentation for AMF3

The AMF3 will be deployed at BNF in Alabama, starting September 2024. In addition to the aerosol node and aerosol flux measurement development, ARM added additional instrumentation to the AMF3 AOS. This includes an APS, sulfur dioxide monitor (SO₂), extended-size-range SMPS (size range is extended from 10-500 nm to 10-800 nm), and SP2 (SP2-XR). The SO₂ and APS are standard ARM instruments, but the SMPS and the SP2-XR are new additions that require ARM to develop new processes (ingest, data quality, calibration, etc.).

Implement an inlet drying system (initially at SGP)

This task was initially created to track the implementation and testing of a drying system for the AOS impactor line and the APS at the SGP site. The drying system has already been implemented for AMF1 and AMF3 AOS. We have since separated out the SGP APS portion, and a new task will be initiated specifically for drying the SGP APS sample line, as there is a broader scope of impacts to consider. As part of this new task, we will also include the requirement for a review by the broader community, such as the Aerosol Measurement Science Group (AMSG).

Implement new PTRH sensors in AOS02 and AOS03

New PTRH sensors were developed and successfully deployed in AOS01, AOS02, and AOS03. These sensors are in each sample line, as close to the instrument as possible to characterize the conditions of the sample air as it enters the instruments.

NSA additional instrument deployment

In September 2024, ARM started deployment of the following instruments: ACSM, APS, SP2-XR, and filters for INS at the NOAA facility alongside NOAA instrumentation.

Develop prioritization for ultrafine CPCs

We have “increased” the number density of the ultrafine CPC (CPCu or CPCuf) to four ARM sites (AMF1, AMF2, AMF3, SGP).

Implement second set of size distribution instruments

There are SMPSs and APSs in all five ARM deployments. The new SMPS at the AMF3 has a wide-range differential mobility analyzer that allows a measurement range of 10 to 800 nm. There is a sixth APS at NSA since September 2024.

Comparable size distribution output across instruments

The aerosol translator is leading an effort to create a merged size distribution VAP using a machine learning method. This is under testing and evaluation.

Absorption, scattering, and extinction closure

This was an optical closure analysis involving the Aerodyne CAPS (cavity attenuated phase shift spectroscopy) aerosol extinction monitor and the TSI nephelometer (aerosol scattering). The particle soot absorption photometer (PSAP, aerosol absorption) was to be the third element in this study, but due to the discontinuation of the filter media, it was not included. The study demonstrated that measurements of scattering coefficients and extinction coefficients for purely scattering particles agree to within 5-10 % in controlled laboratory experiments. This accuracy limits the extent to which closure between scattering, absorption, and extinction can be attained for an aerosol that contains absorbing components. The fact that numerous correction schemes have been, and are still being, proposed for filter-based measurements of aerosol light absorption (e.g., PSAP or aethalometer), which can vary considerably among themselves, indicates that this approach is unlikely to yield accurate results under any situation. For this reason, filter-based measurements are really only useful in identifying relative changes in absorption, not the actual absorption coefficients.

Increase PSAP filter change at SGP

On a nightly basis, every weekend, and during extended weekends, the PSAP filters at SGP face an excessive load, resulting in the loss of absorption measurements for several hours to an entire day each weekend, contingent on local conditions. To extend the operational window of the SGP PSAP, we increased the filter change frequency at minimally two changes per day—at the start and end of each shift.

New trace gas analyzer

We tested the MIRO Gas analyzer (MGA-10) as a potential replacement for ARM trace gas instruments and it is not a viable option for ARM field deployments. See Section 4.3.7.

Develop plan to support detailed composition measurements

ARM plans to operate the ACSMs and look at opportunities for expansion as they present themselves and as budgets align.

Develop strategy for hygroscopic measurements

Following the reduction in the HTDMA operations, the freed-up HTDMA units are undergoing performance evaluation before starting the tests on new operational modes. For more details regarding this plan, refer to Section 4.1.2.

Upgrade an ARM HSRL to support aerosol retrievals

ARM upgraded one high-spectral-resolution lidar (HSRL) to add a 532-nm wide-field-of-view channel and 1064-nm narrow-field-of-view channel along with off-nadir scanning. This system is deployed at SGP, alongside the Raman lidar (RL) which will allow for three-wavelength retrievals of aerosols optical and physical properties. ARM is in the process of upgrading its second HSRL to the same configuration for deployment at the AMF3 observatory in Bankhead National Forest where there will also be a RL deployed. ARM is also in the early phases of procuring an aerosol profiling lidar, similar to those deployed for ACTRIS. We plan to incorporate aerosol microphysical retrieval into a VAP. ARM is working with the NASA Langley Research Center to receive their TIARA algorithm (Tikhonov Advanced Regularization Algorithm), which uses HSRL and RL to derive aerosol properties. In the last year, data analyst Peng Wu has developed an aerosol feature mask that identifies aerosol features using HSRL data.

A.2 In-Progress Tasks

Support lidar/radiometer retrieval development

Progress on the aerosol microphysical properties retrieval has advanced after initial delays awaiting NASA's open-source code release. The TIARA software has now been transferred to ARM servers and is being run on SGP Raman lidar and HSRL data from the Cryogenic, High-Accuracy Refraction Measuring System (CHARMS) campaign, with results being validated against NASA outputs for consistency. Work is underway to plan implementation of the software as an ARM VAP, including discussions on transitioning the code from C to Python. Some issues with SGP HSRL data have been identified and are being addressed in collaboration with the University of Wisconsin lidar group.

Appendix B

User Metrics 1/1/2020-12/31/2024

Table 8. Instrument download metrics, 2020-2024.

| Instrument | Non-Infrastructure Downloads | Non-Infrastructure Users | Publications |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| CCN* | 1279 | 356 | 46 |
| SMPS* | 967 | 291 | 53 |
| CPC | 781 | 269 | 58 |
| UHSAS | 546 | 205 | 29 |
| ACSM* | 472 | 151 | 40 |
| NEPH | 429 | 151 | 30 |
| AOSMET | 398 | 157 | 0 |
| CO | 346 | 81 | 8 |
| PSAP | 312 | 128 | 25 |
| HTDMA | 252 | 86 | 18 |
| OZONE | 214 | 80 | 9 |
| APS* | 187 | 94 | 17 |
| SP2* | 181 | 92 | 34 |
| AOS | 150 | 78 | 61 |
| SO2 | 126 | 49 | 3 |
| OPC | 78 | 28 | 5 |
| AETH | 71 | 44 | 8 |
| CAPS-PMEX | 37 | 20 | 2 |
| CLAP | 35 | 17 | 4 |
| NOX | 33 | 15 | 1 |

Note: does not include related statistics from aerial deployments.

*Numbers include VAPs, if available, which may pull in data from multiple products.



www.arm.gov

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY

Office of Science